

Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, NY 10010
Telephone: (212) 849-7000
Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

David Nelson (*admitted pro hac vice*)
davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN LLP
500 West Madison St., Suite 2450
Chicago, IL 60661
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:14-cv-5344-BLF (NC)

CISCO'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

YOUR ANSWERS MUST BE UNANIMOUS.

CISCO'S CLAIM FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

1: Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista infringed any of Cisco's copyrights?

YES (Infringed) NO (Not infringed)

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 1, PLEASE ANSWER QUESTION 2. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 1, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 6.

2: Has Arista proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista's use of Cisco's copyrighted works was "fair use"?

YES (Fair use) NO (Not fair use)

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 2, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 6. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 2, PLEASE ANSWER QUESTIONS 3(a) and 3(b).

3(b): Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it had lost profits that were caused by the infringement?

YES NO

3(b): Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista earned profits caused by the infringement?

YES

NO

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO ANY PART OF QUESTION 3, PLEASE ANSWER QUESTION 4. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO BOTH PARTS OF QUESTION 3, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 6.

4: What amount of money do you find should be awarded to Cisco for Arista's copyright infringement?

Cisco's lost profits:

Arista's (Infringer's) profits:

5. Has Cisco proven that Arista's copyright infringement was willful?

YES (Willful)

NO (Not willful)

QUESTION 6 IS ON THE NEXT PAGE.

CISCO'S CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

6. Has Cisco proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Arista has infringed any of the following claims of the '526 patent?

	YES (Infringed)	NO (Not infringed)
A. Claim 1	_____	_____
B. Claim 6	_____	_____
C. Claim 10	_____	_____
D. Claim 11	_____	_____
E. Claim 13	_____	_____
F. Claim 14	_____	_____
G. Claim 15	_____	_____
H. Claim 16	_____	_____
I. Claim 19	_____	_____
J. Claim 23	_____	_____

QUESTION 7 IS ON THE NEXT PAGE.

7. Has Arista proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '526 patent are invalid?

	YES (Invalid)	NO (Not invalid)
A. Claim 1	_____	_____
B. Claim 6	_____	_____
C. Claim 10	_____	_____
D. Claim 11	_____	_____
E. Claim 13	_____	_____
F. Claim 14	_____	_____
G. Claim 15	_____	_____
H. Claim 16	_____	_____
I. Claim 19	_____	_____
J. Claim 23	_____	_____

IF—FOR ANY CLAIM OF THE '526 PATENT—YOU ANSWERED “YES” FOR INFRINGEMENT (QUESTION 6) AND ANSWERED “NO” FOR INVALIDITY (QUESTION 7) FOR THE SAME CLAIM, THEN PROCEED TO QUESTION 8. OTHERWISE—IF THERE IS NO CLAIM OF THE '526 PATENT THAT YOU FOUND INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID—THEN SKIP TO THE END OF THIS VERDICT FORM AND SIGN AND DATE IT.

8. What amount of damages is Cisco entitled to recover as a result of Arista's patent infringement?

\$_____

QUESTION 9 IS ON THE NEXT PAGE.

1 9. Has Cisco proven that Arista's patent infringement was willful?

2 YES (Willful) NO (Not willful)

3 _____

4

5 **PLEASE DATE AND SIGN BELOW.**

6

7 Dated: _____

8 **FOREPERSON**

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Dated: September 27, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

2 /s/ John M. Neukom

3 Kathleen Sullivan (SBN 242261)
4 kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com
5 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
6 SULLIVAN LLP
7 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
8 New York, NY 10010
9 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
10 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

11 Sean S. Pak (SBN 219032)
12 seanpak@quinnemanuel.com
13 Amy H. Candido (SBN 237829)
14 amycandido@quinnemanuel.com
15 John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
16 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com.
17 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
18 SULLIVAN LLP
19 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94111
21 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
22 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

23 David Nelson (*admitted pro hac vice*)
24 davenelson@quinnemanuel.com
25 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
26 SULLIVAN LLP
27 500 West Madison St., Suite 2450
28 Chicago, IL 60661
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Steven Cherny (*admitted pro hac vice*)
steven.cherny@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Adam R. Alper (SBN 196834)
adam.alper@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Michael W. De Vries (SBN 211001)
michael.devries@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street

Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28